CLASSICS OF THE ALCOHOL LITERATURE

But for the gossipy Suetonius and some of the lyrical poets, the occasional excursions of the Roman literature on drunkenness are of a moralizing nature and of no particular interest to students of alcoholism. But while Seneca, whose eighty-third of the *Epistolae Morales* deals with drunkenness, has usually been classed as a moralistic writer, he was such more by virtue of his style than by the content of his writings. The latter are replete with psychological insights and, most surprisingly, the content of his writings is essentially mental hygiene. That Seneca was a forerunner of this modern movement has perhaps never been recognized. His essay on anger is much more than a description and reflections on anger. It is essentially a realization of the destructiveness of the paranoid attitude and an exposition of the principles that should enter into the education of the child and into the conduct of the adult in order to avoid this attitude. The fact that Lucius Annaeus Seneca, who was born in 4 B.C., had ended his life by his own hand in 65 A.D. would not incline the modern mental hygienist to think of him as a colleague in the remote past. In Seneca’s time, however, suicide was not necessarily the expression of a personality difficulty but more commonly a social obligation under certain circumstances, as hara-kiri is now among the Japanese.

The mental hygiene attitude is not represented in Seneca’s epistle on drunkenness. In spite of much word-ballast, this epistle is given here *in extenso*, for it contains some illuminating remarks on inebriety and such clear thinking on this subject as is not displayed too frequently in the discussion of this subject in the following centuries, and sometimes even at present. The legalistic mind of this literary lawyer was searching for relevance and for the establishment of clear distinctions in order to avoid sidetracking and confusion of the issues. He made clear distinction between acute intoxication and alcohol addiction and insisted on the utility of such a distinction.

That the main mechanism in drunkenness is release of inhibitions was expressed by Seneca in these words: “Drunkenness ... removes the sense of shame that veils our evil undertakings.” The escape nature of addiction, so frequently stressed by modern psychiatrists, was recognized by Seneca, who thought that “... drunkenness is nothing but an insanity purposely assumed.”
Were it for nothing but these few remarks it would be worth while to read Seneca's eighty-third epistle, which is given here from the English version of the Loeb Classical Library.

E. M. J.

SENECA TO LUCILIO, GREETINGS

You bid me give you an account of each separate day, and of the whole day too; so you must have a good opinion of me if you think that in these days of mine there is nothing to hide. At any rate, it is thus that we should live,—as if we lived in plain sight of all men; and it is thus that we should think,—as if there were someone who could look into our inmost souls; and there is one who can so look. For what avails it that something is hidden from man? Nothing is shut off from the sight of God. He is witness of our souls, and he comes into the very midst of our thoughts—comes into them, I say, as one who may at any time depart. I shall therefore do as you bid, and shall gladly inform you by letter what I am doing, and in what sequence. I shall keep watching myself continually, and—a most useful habit—shall review each day. For this is what makes us wicked: that no one of us looks back over his own life. Our thoughts are devoted only to what we are about to do. And yet our plans for the future always depend on the past.

To-day has been unbroken; no one has filched the slightest part of it from me. The whole time has been divided between rest and reading. A brief space has been given over to bodily exercise, and on this ground I can thank old age—my exercise costs very little effort; as soon as I stir, I am tired. And weariness is the aim and end of exercise, no matter how strong one is. Do you ask who are my pacemakers? One is enough for me,—the slave Pharius, a pleasant fellow, as you know; but I shall exchange him for another. At my time of life I need one who is of still more tender years. Pharius, at any rate, says that he and I are at the same period of life; for we are both losing our teeth. Yet even now I can scarcely follow his pace as he runs, and within a very short time I shall not be able to follow him at all; so you see what profit we get from daily exercise. Very soon does a wide interval open between two persons who travel different ways. My slave is climbing up at the very moment when I am coming down, and you surely know how much quicker the latter is. Nay, I was wrong; for now my life is not coming down; it is falling outright. Do you ask, for all that, how our race resulted to-day? We raced to a tie,—something which rarely happens in a running contest. After tiring myself out in this way (for I cannot call it exercise), I took a cold bath; this, at my house, means just short of hot. I, the former cold-water enthusiast, who used to celebrate the new year by taking a plunge into the canal, who, just as naturally as I would set out to do some reading or writing, or to compose a speech, used to inaugurate the first of the year with a plunge into the Virgo aqueduct, have changed my allegiance, first to the Tiber, and then to my favourite tank, which is warmed only by the sun, at times when I am most robust and when there is not a flaw in my
entrusted by a general or a captain or a centurion with messages which might not be divulged! With regard to the notorious plot to murder Gaius Caesar,—I mean the Caesar who conquered Pompey and got control of the state,—Tillius Cimber was trusted with it no less than Gaius Cassius. Now Cassius throughout his life drank water; while Tillius Cimber was a sot as well as a brawler. Cimber himself alluded to this fact, saying: "I carry a master? I cannot carry my liquor!" So let each one call to mind those who, to his knowledge, can be ill trusted with wine, but well trusted with the spoken word; and yet one case occurs to my mind, which I shall relate, lest it fall into oblivion. For life should be provided with conspicuous illustrations. Let us not always be harking back to the dim past.

Lucius Piso, the Director of Public Safety at Rome, was drunk from the very time of his appointment. He used to spend the greater part of the night at banquets, and would sleep until noon. That was the way he spent his morning hours. Nevertheless, he applied himself most diligently to his official duties, which included the guardianship of the city. Even the sainted Augustus trusted him with secret orders when he placed him in command of Thrace. Piso conquered that country. Tiberius, too, trusted him when he took his holiday in Campania, leaving behind him in the city many a critical matter that aroused both suspicion and hatred. I fancy that it was because Piso's drunkenness turned out well for the Emperor that he appointed to the office of city prefect Cossus, a man of authority and balance, but so soaked and steeped in drink that once, at a meeting of the Senate, whither he had come after banqueting, he was overcome by a slumber from which he could not be roused, and had to be carried home. It was to this man that Tiberius sent many orders, written in his own hand, —orders which he believed he ought not to trust even to the officials of his household. Cossus never let a single secret slip out, whether personal or public.

So let us abolish all such harangues as this: "No man in the bonds of drunkenness has power over his soul. As the very vats are burst by new wine, and as the dregs at the bottom are raised to the surface by the strength of the fermentation; so, when the wine effervesces, whatever lies hidden below is brought up and made visible. As a man overcome by liquor cannot keep down his food when he has over-indulged in wine, so he cannot keep back a secret either. He pours forth impartially both his own secrets and those of other persons." This, of course, is what commonly happens, but so does this,—that we take counsel on serious subjects with those whom we know to be in the habit of drinking freely. Therefore this proposition, which is laid down in the guise of a defence of Zeno's syllogism, is false,—that secrets are not entrusted to the habitual drunkard.

How much better it is to arraign drunkenness frankly and to expose its vices! For even the middling good man avoids them, not to mention the perfect sage, who is satisfied with slaking his thirst; the sage, even if now and then he is led on by good cheer which, for a friend's sake, is carried somewhat too far, yet always stops short of drunkenness. We shall investigate later the question whether the mind of the sage is upset by too much
wine and commits follies like those of the toper; but meanwhile, if you wish
to prove that a good man ought not to get drunk, why work it out by logic?
Show how base it is to pour down more liquor than one can carry, and not
to know the capacity of one's own stomach; show how often the drunkard
does things which make him blush when he is sober; state that drunkenness
is nothing but a condition of insanity purposely assumed. Prolong the
drunken's condition to several days; will you have any doubt about his
madness? Even as it is, the madness is no less; it merely lasts a shorter time.
Think of Alexander of Macedon, who stabbed Clitus, his dearest and most
loyal friend, at a banquet; after Alexander understood what he had done,
he wished to die, and assuredly he ought to have died.

Drunkenness kindles and discloses every kind of vice, and removes the
sense of shame that veils our evil undertakings. For more men abstain from
forbidden actions because they are ashamed of sinning than because their
inclinations are good. When the strength of wine has become too great and
has gained control over the mind, every lurking evil comes forth from its
hiding-place. Drunkenness does not create vice, it merely brings it into
view; at such times the lustful man does not wait even for the privacy of a
bedroom, but without postponement gives free play to the demands of his
passions; at such times the unchaste man proclaims and publishes his
malady; at such times your cross-grained fellow does not restrain his
tongue or his hand. The haughty man increases his arrogance, the ruthless
man his cruelty, the slanderer his spitefulness. Every vice is given free play
and comes to the front. Besides, we forget who we are, we utter words that
are halting and poorly enunciated, the glance is unsteady, the step falters,
the head is dizzy, the very ceiling moves about as if a cyclone were whirling
the whole house, and the stomach suffers torture when the wine generates
gas and causes our very bowels to swell. However, at the time, these
troubles can be endured, so long as the man retains his natural strength;
but what can he do when sleep impairs his powers, and when that which
was drunkenness becomes indigestion?

Think of the calamities caused by drunkenness in a nation! This evil has
betrayed to their enemies the most spirited and warlike races; this evil has
made breeches in walls defended by the stubborn warfare of many years;
this evil has forced under alien sway peoples who were utterly unyielding
and defiant of the yoke; this evil has conquered by the wine-cup those who
in the field were invincible. Alexander, whom I have just mentioned, passed
through his many marches, his many battles, his many winter campaigns
(through which he worked his way by overcoming disadvantages of time or
place), the many rivers which flowed from unknown sources, and the many
seas, all in safety; it was intemperance in drinking that laid him low, and
the famous death-dealing bowl of Hercules.

What glory is there in carrying much liquor? When you have won the
prize, and the other banqueters, sprawling asleep or vomiting, have declined
your challenge to still other toasts; when you are the last survivor of the
revels; when you have vanquished every one by your magnificent show of
prowess and there is no man who has proved himself of so great capacity as
you,—you are vanquished by the cask. Mark Antony was a great man, a man of distinguished ability; but what ruined him and drove him into foreign habits and un-Roman vices, if it was not drunkenness and—no less potent than wine—love of Cleopatra? This it was that made him an enemy of the state; this it was that rendered him no match for his enemies; this it was that made him cruel, when as he sat at table the heads of the leaders of the state were brought in; when amid the most elaborate feasts and royal luxury he would identify the faces and hands of men whom he had proscribed; when, though heavy with wine, he yet thirsted for blood. It was intolerable that he was getting drunk while he did such things; how much more intolerable that he did these things while actually drunk! Cruelty usually follows wine-bibbing; for a man's soundness of mind is corrupted and made savage. Just as a lingering illness makes men querulous and irritable and drives them wild at the least crossing of their desires, so continued bouts of drunkenness bestialize the soul. For when people are often beside themselves, the habit of madness lasts on, and the vices which liquor generated retain their power even when the liquor is gone.

Therefore you should state why the wise man ought not to get drunk. Explain by facts, and not by mere words, the hideousness of the thing, and its haunting evils. Do that which is easiest of all—namely, demonstrate that what men call pleasures are punishments as soon as they have exceeded due bounds. For if you try to prove that the wise man can souse himself with much wine and yet keep his course straight, even though he be in his cups, you may go on to infer by syllogisms that he will not die if he swallows poison, that he will not sleep if he takes a sleeping-potion, that he will not vomit and reject the matter which clogs his stomach when you give him hellebore. But, when a man's feet totter and his tongue is unsteady, what reason have you for believing that he is half sober and half drunk? Farewell.